

# Migration and legal family formats in Hungary

by Tamás Dombos 1

The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples

Edited by Kees Waaldijk,<sup>2</sup> Marie Digoix,<sup>3</sup> Natalie Nikolina,<sup>2</sup> Giuseppe Zago,<sup>2</sup> Daniel Damonzé,<sup>2</sup> Arianna Caporali,<sup>3</sup> Kamel Nait Abdellah <sup>3</sup>
Published by INED, Paris, 2017, <a href="www.LawsAndFamilies.eu">www.LawsAndFamilies.eu</a>

Based on the LawsAndFamilies questionnaire on legal family formats for same-sex and/or different-sex couples (Section 4 – Migration)

© 2017 Tamás Dombos

<sup>2</sup> <u>Grotius Centre for International</u> <u>Legal Studies</u>, Leiden University, Netherlands, <u>www.leiden.edu</u>.



<sup>3</sup> Institut national d'études démographiques, Paris, France, <u>www.ined.fr</u>.



#### Recommended citation:

T. Dombos, 'Migration and legal family formats in Hungary', in: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples, Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu (question 4.x).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tamás Dombos (board member, Háttér Society, <u>www.hatter.hu</u>) is grateful for the useful comments that Eszter Polgari (Assistant Professor, Department of Legal Studies, Central European University) made on an earlier version of the answers in this section of the database.

## **Acknowledgment**

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 320116 for the research project FamiliesAndSocieties, www.familiesandsocieties.eu.



# **Families** And **Societies**

#### **Disclaimer**

This paper and this database contain information with a scientific aim. Nothing in this paper and in this database should be seen as legal advice. Not all nuances and exceptions are included, and there may be errors and further legal developments. The experts, the authors, the editors, the Institut national d'études démographiques and Leiden University cannot be held liable for any inaccurate or incomplete information in this paper or in this database. More particularly, they cannot be held liable for any damage or consequences from the direct or indirect use of contents of this paper or database.

## The six sections of the questionnaire

The <u>interactive LawsAndFamilies Database</u> is based on the <u>LawsAndFamilies</u> <u>questionnaire</u>, which consists of six sections:

Section 1 – Formalisation

Section 2 - Income and troubles

Section 3 - Parenting

Section 4 – Migration

Section 5 – Splitting up

Section 6 - Death

# Papers and an interactive database

For each jurisdiction there are therefore six papers like this one. And each of these papers contains the full answers, references and explanations (given by

a legal expert for the jurisdiction concerned) to the questions in one of these six sections. All these papers can be found in open access at <a href="https://www.LawsAndFamilies.eu">www.LawsAndFamilies.eu</a>. There the user also has access to the <a href="interactive">interactive</a> <a href="part of the LawsAndFamilies Database">part of the LawsAndFamilies Database</a>, which can be used to search the whole database and to create comparative overviews for different questions, different years, different countries and/or different legal family formats.

This website also contains references to publications analysing the results of the project, and to some other legal, sociological and statistical publications about same-sex and different-sex families. And it gives information about the methodology used for this questionnaire and database.

## About the questionnaire

The <u>full text of the questionnaire</u> can be found in the paper:

K. Waaldijk, J.M. Lorenzo Villaverde, N. Nikolina & G. Zago,

'The LawsAndFamilies questionnaire on legal family formats for same-sex and/or different-sex couples: Text of the questions and of the accompanying guidance document', *FamiliesAndSocieties Working Paper* 64(2016), <a href="https://www.familiesandsocieties.eu">www.familiesandsocieties.eu</a>.

The <u>Guidance document</u> contained in that paper, asked the experts answering the questionnaire to make several assumptions. These included the assumption that the partners have been living together as a couple already for at least two years. Plus the assumption (except for certain questions in Section 4 – Migration) that both partners have the citizenship of the country where they are now both lawfully and habitually residing, and that this is also the country where their relationship would have been formalised and where it would be dissolved.

#### About the answers

This paper contains the answers, references and explanations – for one jurisdiction – to all questions in one of the six sections of the questionnaire. The answers are presented in columns. Each column is a coloured timeline, representing legal developments for one question for one legal family format.

The year at the top of each coloured timeline is the most recent year for which a question was answered by the author. In the timelines the years given above and below an answer indicate that the answer applied between a certain day in the lower year and a certain day in the higher year. The year "0000" means that the answer applied since an unknown year before 1965. See further the <u>Guidance document</u>.

## The following **answer codes and colours** have been used:

Yes	Yes, this is so in the law of this country/jurisdiction, although possibly with a qualifying period of 24 months or less.
Yes, but	Yes, but with exceptions or restrictions, for example a qualifying period of 25 months or more, or only in most parts of the country/jurisdiction, or this is mostly a "dead letter".
No, but	No, but it may be so exceptionally, or in a very limited way, or in a few parts of the country/jurisdiction, or indirectly, or by using a different legal instrument, or legislation says no while some courts might say yes.
No	No, this is not so in the law of this country/jurisdiction.
Doubt	The law is unclear (the law does not "know" the answer).
Doubt ?	The law is unclear (the law does not "know" the answer).  No information was available.
?	No information was available.  Not applicable (for example because this family format is not available in this jurisdiction, or not for same-sex
? N/A	No information was available.  Not applicable (for example because this family format is not available in this jurisdiction, or not for same-sex or different-sex couples).

### The six papers about Hungary

The answers concerning Hungary can be found in the <u>interactive database</u> and in the following six papers (all of which are published in open access in: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), *The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples*, Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu):

Formalisation of legal family formats in Hungary by Eszter Polgari (Section 1)

Income, troubles and legal family formats in Hungary by Tamás Dombos (Section 2)

Parenting and legal family formats in Hungary by Eszter Polgari (Section 3)

Migration and legal family formats in Hungary by Tamás Dombos (Section 4)

Splitting up and legal family formats in Hungary by Eszter Polgari (Section 5)

Death and legal family formats in Hungary by Eszter Polgari (Section 6)

So this paper is based on **Section 4 (Migration)** of the <u>LawsAndFamilies</u> <u>questionnaire</u>, which contains questions about the following topics:

- 4.1 Partner of national citizen
- 4.2 Partner of national citizen (foreign status)
- 4.3 Partner of (non-EU) foreigner
- 4.4 Partner of EU citizen (foreign status)
- 4.5 Foreign status as impediment to marry
- 4.6 Foreign status and inheritance
- 4.7 Citizenship
- 4.8 Recognition of joint adoption
- 4.9 Recognition of second-parent adoption
- 4.10 General background regarding migration

In the following pages of this paper, first the answer to question 4.10 is presented, followed by the answers to questions 4.1 to 4.9.

**4.10 - General background regarding migration (Open question)** If you consider it useful to provide some general information or comments about (past, present or future) developments and trends in legal policy and case law in your country/jurisdiction, or information on other aspects (socio-legal, political, legal-cultural, etc.) that may be relevant for the understanding your answers

above regarding migration, then please do so here.

Migration and citizenship is an area of law where differences between spouses and registered partners on the one hand and cohabiting partners on the other hand diverge the most, with cohabiting partners lacking access to many rights afforded to more formal forms of partnership.

Hungarian legislation on international private law relegates many issues to foreign jurisdiction, thus several questions cannot be answered based solely on the Hungarian legislation.

While some questions relating to foreigners were settled satisfactorily when drafting the law on registered partnership (such as providing access to the institution for foreigners coming from countries that do not recognize (same-sex) registered partnership), several others (such as what happens to foreign same-sex marriages or same-sex adoptions abroad) have not been dealt with.

**Downloaded on 2017-01-23** 

Jurisdiction: **Hungary** 

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <u>LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</u> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

## Question: 4.01 - Partner of national citizen

When one partner is a residing national citizen, while the other is a foreigner from another continent, will the foreign partner then have a residence entitlement/eligibility?

(Please assume that they married/registered/cohabited in the country where they now want to reside. As to the meaning of 'residing', see section c of the <u>Guidance for experts answering questions in the questionnaire.</u>)

Marriage		Registered	Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	
2015 Yes 1982	2015 N/A 0000	2015 N/A 0000	2015 Yes 2009	2015 Yes, but 2007	2015 Yes, but 2007	
No 0000			N/A 0000	No, but 2005	No, but 2005	
				No 1978	No 1996	
				No 0000	No 0000	

Marriage	Registered	partnership	Cohabitation	
diffsex same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
References to legal sources:  Art. 2(bb), 3(2), 7 and 16(2)(b) of Act I of 2007 on the admission and residence of persons with the right of free movement and residence (2007. évi I. törvény a szabad mozgás és tartózkodás jogával rendelkező személyek beutazásáról és tartózkodásáról), was art. 2(1)(e) and art. 14(1) of Act No. XXXIX of 2001 on entry and residence of foreigners (2001. évi XXXIX. törvény a külföldiek beutazásáról és tartózkodásáról), was art. 17(5)(a) and 17(4)(a) of Act No. LXXXVI of 1993 on the entry, residence and immigration of foreigners (1993. évi LXXXVI. törvény a külföldiek beutazásáról, magyarországi tartózkodásáról és bevándorlásáról).  Act XXIX of 1989 on emigration and immigration (1989. évi XXIX. törvény a ki- és bevándorlásról).  Art. 7 of law decree No. 19 of 1982 on the residence of foreigners in Hungary (1982. évi 19. törvényerejű rendelet a külföldiek magyarországi tartózkodásáról) (in force until 1 January 1990).  Decree of the Minister of Interior No. 7/1982. (VIII. 26.) on the implementation of the law decree No. 19. of 1982 on the residence of foreigners (7/1982. (VIII. 26.) BM rendelet a külföldiek magyarországi tartózkodásáról szóló 1982. évi 19. törvényerejű rendelet végrehajtásáról).  Government decree 24/1966. (IX. 25.) on the entry and leaving of foreigners and their residence in the country (24/1966. (IX. 25.) Korm. rendelet a külföldiek be- és kiutazásáról, valamint az ország területén való tartózkodásáról).	References to legal source Art. 3 of Act No. XXIX of 2009 and related legislation and of statutes to facilitate the profixion of the profixion	O on registered partnership on the amendment of other of of cohabitation (2009. évi ettársi kapcsolatról, az ezzel társi viszony igazolásának es egyes törvények the admission and ne right of free movement by Act No. CV of 2011 on ws and other laws for ation (2011. évi CV. törvény más kapcsolódó törvények	References to legal source Art. 8(1)(a) of Act I of 2007 or residence of persons with th and residence (2007. évi I. tö tartózkodás jogával rendelke és tartózkodásáról).  Supreme Court Decision No. April 2005).  Constitutional Court Decisio March 2011).  Equal Treatment Authority E 2007).	n the admission and the right of free movement brvény a szabad mozgás és ező személyek beutazásáról . Kfv. II.39. 032/2005/5. (20 n No. 68/E/2004. AB (1

same-sex

## **Explanations and nuances:**

diff.-sex

Since the entry into force in 1994 of the 1993 immigration Act, family members enjoy special entry and residence rights and spouses are mentioned as family members. Since 2007 they are considered persons with the right of free movement and residence. The law differentiates between short term stay (less than 90 days within a 180 day period), long term stay (more than 90 days within a 180 day period) and permanent stay. The latter is limited to spouses whose marriage was concluded two years prior to submitting the request and the couple has lived in a marital community ever since.

Marriage

Between 1990 and 1994, family members or spouses were not specifically mentioned in the legislation, however, the explanatory memorandum of the 1989 law explicitly talks about immigration for family reunification purpose which can be allowed by the authorities. The general framework of the law was that it listed only those groups of people whose immigration cannot be allowed and for whom the authorities enjoy a discretionary power. The 1982 law decree allows immigration for family reunification, however it did not specify who counts as family member. The ministerial decree on the detailed rules of implementation contained a requirement to submit marriage licences implying that authorities did consider spouses as a specific group of applicants.

The earlier legislation from 1966 contained no special treatment for family members or spouses.

## **Explanations and nuances:**

diff.-sex

The general reference rule of the Registered Partnership Act applies.

Following a debate with the European Union, an amendment was adopted in 2011 that specifically added registered partners to the list of family members, however, not using the legal term for registered partnership ("bejegyzett élettársi kapcsolat"), but a slightly different term "cohabiting partners in registered partnership" "regisztrált élettársi kapcsolatot létesített élettárs". See further details under guestion 4.04.

same-sex

## **Explanations and nuances:**

diff.-sex

The Free Movement Act adopted in 2007 makes it possible for the immigration authority to recognize a person who lived in the same household with a Hungarian citizen for a year as family member. As opposed to spouses, the recognition is not automatic, the authorities have discretionary power, and one year cohabitation is required.

same-sex

It has to be noted that while cohabiting partners were not specifically mentioned in the legislation before 2007, a case launched by a same-sex couple in 2004 resulted in cohabiting partners (both same-sex and different-sex) being allowed to sponsor their partners for a residence permit. The case concerned whether free use of the cohabiting partner's apartment and financial support by the cohabiting partner could be taken into consideration when deciding on whether the residence and subsistence of the applicant in Hungary is secured. Lower level courts opined that only partners with a statutory duty to support (that is spouses) can sponsor their partners in that way, but finally the Supreme Court decided that since the legislation contains no explicit exclusion, such voluntary forms of support can also be taken into consideration.

Another same-sex couple launched legal proceedings at the Equal Treatment Authority and the Constitutional Court, claiming that the fact that the legislation offers them no option similar to spouses, is discrimination based on sexual orientation. Both fora found that there is no discrimination since both different-sex and same-sex cohabiting partners are treated less favourably than spouses. They disregarded the fundamental difference that different-sex couples can always marry, while at the time same-sex couples had no such option.

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <u>LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</u> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

# Question: 4.02 - Partner of national citizen (foreign status)

When one partner is a residing national citizen, while the other partner is a foreigner from another continent, and this couple married/registered in the country of the foreigner, will the foreign partner then have a residence entitlement/eligibility?

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
2015 Yes 1982	2015 No, but 2007	2015 Yes, but 2011	2015 Yes, but 2011	x	x
No 0000	No 2001	Doubt 2009	Doubt 2009	x	X
	N/A 0000	No, but 2007	No, but 2007	X	X
		No 0000	No 0000	x	x

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
a nemzetközi magánjogród decree No. 23 of 1952 on implementation of Act No family and guardianship, related to the law of perso törvényerejű rendelet a ha gyámságról szóló 1952. év	4.01. o. 13 of 1979 on private vi 13. törvényerejű rendelet vi), was. art. 16(1)-(2) of Law the entry into force and vi. IV of 1952 on marriage, and on certain questions ons (1952. évi 23. ázasságról, a családról és a vi IV. törvény ajtása, valamint a személyi	of cohabitation (2009. évi élettársi kapcsolatról, az e az élettársi viszony igazola szükséges egyes törvénye Art. 2(bj) of Act I of 2007 oresidence of persons with movement and residence of 2011 on amending cert laws for purposes of legal CV. törvény egyes munkai kapcsolódó törvények jog módosításáról).  Art. 41/A(2) of Law decree	egislation and on the utes to facilitate the proof XXIX. törvény a bejegyzett ezzel összefüggő, valamint ásának megkönnyítéséhez k módosításáról).  In the admission and the right of free as amended by Act No. CV ain labour laws and other harmonization (2011. évi ügyi tárgyú és más harmonizációs célú  No. 13 of 1979 on private vi 13. törvényerejű rendelet		

The LawsAndFamilies Database	http://lawsandfamilies-database.site.ined.fr/en/legal-projection
Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples	Do

Mar	Marriage		partnership	Cohab	itation
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
Explanations and nuance. The immigration laws do not have contained any specific recognition of foreign mark. The law on private internate requirements of what law a marriage is valid and say jurisdiction of the partners into marriage apply, and if common jurisdiction, the relegal according to both of partners. Since the question national and Hungarian lassame-sex marriages, the feather residence entitlement have residence eligibility and the residence	not currently and never ic provisions on the criages.  Itional law sets the to apply when deciding if ys that the common s at the time of entering f they had no such marriage is only legal if it is the jurisdictions of the ons concerns a Hungarian w does not recognize oreign partner would not at as a spouse (but would)	Explanations and nuance In principle the general refrequency and principle the general refrequency and previous standpoint was in No. 106-Ált-9/162/2011, datext of the legislation because with the adoption of art. 2 legislation mentions only in performed in another EU crecognition of registered proncerning different-sex in response to question 4.04. It is worth noting that the applied differently in the lainternational law: while a registered partnership is a of one partner does not registered partnerships, because if not having residence registered partner, the registered partner eligibility and the partner el	ference rule of the tapplies.  In Immigration and several research in 2008-2009 arguing erships performed in ized. When questioned on an official letter that their lot legally binding (letter ated April 29 2011). The me somewhat clearer (bj) in 2011, however, the registered partnerships country, still leaving partnership from other other details (esp. legistered partners see and a partnerships country are partners see and the partners, also legal if the jurisdiction ecognize same-sex at the other partner is ermanent residence in the country of the country are considered partner is ermanent residence in the country of the country of the partner is ermanent residence in the country of the		

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <u>LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</u> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

Question: 4.03 - Partner of (non-EU) foreigner

When both partners are foreigners from another continent, and one of them is residing in the country, will the other partner then have a residence

entitlement/eligibility?

(Please assume that they married/registered/cohabited in the country where they now want to reside.)

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
2015 Yes 1982	2015 N/A 0000	2015 N/A 0000	2015 Yes 2009	2015 No 1978	2015 No 1996
No 0000			N/A 0000	No 0000	No 0000
eferences to legal sources: t. 2(da) and art. 19(1) of Act No. II. of 2007 on the try and residence of third-country nationals 007. évi II. törvény a harmadik országbeli ampolgárok beutazásáról és tartózkodásáról)),		References to legal source Art. 3 of Act No. XXIX of 200 partnership and related legal amendment of other status proof of cohabitation (2009)	09 on registered gislation and on the tes to facilitate the	References to legal sou	rces:

állampolgárok beutazásáról és tartózkodásáról)), for earlier legislation, see response to question

4.01.

Art. 3 of Act No. XXIX of 2009 on registered partnership and related legislation and on the amendment of other statutes to facilitate the proof of cohabitation (2009. évi XXIX. törvény a bejegyzett élettársi kapcsolatról, az ezzel összefüggő, valamint az élettársi viszony igazolásának megkönnyítéséhez szükséges egyes törvények módosításáról).

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohab	Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex same-sex		diffsex	same-sex	
The legislation provides for f ("családegyesítés") as a spec residence; the definition of f includes spouses. Before 20	Explanations and nuances: The legislation provides for family reunification ("családegyesítés") as a specific type of entry and residence; the definition of family members includes spouses. Before 2007, the same rules applied to spouses of Hungarian and spouses of non-EU citizens.		<b>ces:</b> le of the Registered	Explanations and nuane Cohabiting partners are r family members.		

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <u>LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</u> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

Question: 4.04 - Partner of EU citizen (foreign status)

When one partner is a foreign EU citizen who is residing in the country, while the other is a foreigner from another continent, and this couple married/registered/cohabited in the country of the EU citizen, will the non-EU partner then have a residence entitlement/eligibility?

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
2015	2015	2015	2015	2015	2015
Yes	No, but	Yes	Yes	Yes, but	Yes, but
1982	2007	2011	2011	2010	2010
No	No	Doubt	Doubt	Yes, but	Yes, but
0000	2001	2009	2009	2007	2007
	N/A	No, but	No, but	Doubt	Doubt
	0000	2007	2007	2005	2005
		No 0000	No 0000	No 0000	No 0000

módosításáról).

For earlier legislation see response to question

4.03.

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex same-sex		diffsex	same-sex
of free movement and reand 27 of Act No. XXXIX o	I of 2007 on the e of persons with the right esidence, was art. 25(2)(a) of 2001 on entry and 2001. évi XXXIX. törvény a	References to legal sou Art. 3 of Act No. XXIX of 2 partnership and related amendment of other sta proof of cohabitation (20 bejegyzett élettársi kapcs összefüggő, valamint az e igazolásának megkönnyí	2009 on registered legislation and on the tutes to facilitate the 109. évi XXIX. törvény a solatról, az ezzel élettársi viszony	References to legal sour Art. 8(1)(b) of Act I of 200 residence of persons with movement and residence CV of 2011 on amending other laws for purposes of (2011. évi CV. törvény egy más kapcsolódó törvénye	7 on the admission and n the right of free e as amended by Act No certain labour laws and of legal harmonization yes munkaügyi tárgyú és

törvények módosításáról).

Marriage	Registered	Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	

# **Explanations and nuances:**

Since 2007, spouses of EEA citizens also enjoy the right to free movement and residence as they are considered family members similarly to spouses of Hungarian citizens. Between 2004 and 2006, separate rules applied to family members of EEA citizens, albeit granting them the same rights as spouses of Hungarian citizens. There are no specific rules on the validity of marriages performed within the EU, the general rules on the validity of foreign marriages apply, see discussion under question 4.02.

Before 2004, the same rules applied as for question 4.03.

# **Explanations and nuances:**

In principle the general reference rule of the Registered Partnership Act applies, in combination with the rules on the validity of foreign registered partnership discussed under question 4.02.

As described under question 4.01 an amendment was adopted in 2011 to the Free Movement Act that specifically added registered partners to the list of family members using the term "cohabiting partners who entered into registered partnership in front of an authority of Hungary or another member state of the European Union" (rather than simply "registered partners"). Although this was never publicly stated, an official letter by the ministry responsible for the bill (dated 22 June 2011) stated that the novel use of terminology was to make the legislation more comprehensive and not only refer to same-sex registered partners according to Hungarian law, but also to different-sex registered partners from other countries.

# **Explanations and nuances:**

As opposed to cohabiting partners of Hungarian citizens, the one year requirement is no longer contained in the legislation for cohabiting partners of EEA citizens since 2010. This is the result of an imminent infringement procedure because the European Commission found the one year rule to be arbitrary. However, the EU acquis only covers partners of EEA citizens and not partners of Hungarian citizens, thus the Hungarian Government decided to split the two categories. The discretionary power of the immigration authority has not been changed. In practice there are no known cases where cohabiting partners are denied residence.

It is not clear whether the Court decision in 2005 to allow cohabiting partners to sponsor their partners would also apply to EEA citizens.

**Downloaded on 2017-01-23** 

Jurisdiction: **Hungary** 

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <u>LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</u> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

Question: 4.05 - Foreign status as impediment to marry

When the couple got married or registered abroad, will this relationship then be recognised as an impediment to marry someone else?

Маг	Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	
2015 Yes, but 0000	2015 No, but 2001	2015 No, but 1998	2015 Yes, but 2009	X	x	
	N/A 0000	N/A 0000	No, but 1989	x	x	
			N/A 0000	x	X	

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex same-sex		diffsex	same-sex
References to legal source Art. 38(1) of Law decree No international law (1979. évi a nemzetközi magánjogról) Art. 65 of Act No. I of 2010 (2010. évi I. törvény az anyak Art. 4:13 of Act No. V of 201 évi V. törvény a Polgári Törv 7(1) of Act No. IV of 1952 or guardianship ("Family Code házasságról, a családról és	. 13 of 1979 on private 13. törvényerejű rendelet . on the registry procedure akönyvi eljárásról). 3 on the Civil Code (2013. vénykönyvről), was art. n marriage, family and ") (1952. évi IV. törvény a	élettársi kapcsolatról, az e az élettársi viszony igazolá szükséges egyes törvénye Art. 41/A(1) and 38(1) of La on private international la törvényerejű rendelet a ne	egislation and on the egislation and on the eutes to facilitate the proof XXIX. törvény a bejegyzett ezzel összefüggő, valamint ásának megkönnyítéséhez k módosításáról).  aw decree No. 13 of 1979 w (1979. évi 13. emzetközi magánjogról).		

Marriage		Marriage Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
asic rules of marriage in ne Family Code). Is to whether a foreign na mpediment, depends on lungarian citizen or not. oreigner wishing to get round is/her country stating the orthem getting married. urisdiction of the foreign	d is an impediment to er person according to the the Civil Code (formerly:  narriage constitutes an whether the person is a The law requires that a married in Hungary submits ed by the authorities of lat there is no impediment	Explanations and nuance Although the Civil Code do an existing registered part to marriage, the general received Partnership Ac since an existing marriage existing registered partner well. Similarly to marriage split for the foreign and the The same requirement for document issued by the aforeigner's country also appartnership. Thus it is the that decides whether the expartnership is an impedim	ches not explicitly contain chership as an impediment deference rule of the tapplies here as well, so is an impediment, an arrship is an impediment as the question has to be the Hungarian partner.  The submitting an official defends of the coplies for registered foreigner's home country existing registered		
erformed abroad have to well ("hazai anyakönyvarriages are not recognungary (not even as reguill not serve as an imperartner. When drafting the Justice and Law Enforce ill argued that same-sextoroad would be automategistered partnerships in specific provisions need for the official letter No. 106-Justical letter No.	dized or registered in istered partnership), they diment for the Hungarian he legislation, the Ministry ement responsible for the marriages performed hitically recognized as high Hungary, thus there are eded. The practice of the di Nationality (confirmed by i-5143/9/2014 dated 30 quests for the domestic marriages performed	If the Hungarian partner eregistered partnership about impediment, since in Hungarian partnerships are entered into a same-sex reabroad, that registered partnerships are intered into a same-sex reabroad, that registered partnerships are intered into a same-sex reabroad, that registered partnerships will be an imperformed abroad after the Act entered into force in Homestically registered, so from previous years is no	gary only same-sex re recognized. If he/she registered partnership rtnership (if registered registered partnerships registered partnerships registered Partnership registered partnership registered partnership registered partnership		

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <u>LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</u> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

Question: 4.06 - Foreign status and inheritance

When the couple got married or registered abroad, will this relationship then be recognised as regards inheritance in the absence of a testament?

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
2015 Yes 1953	2015 No, but 2015	2015 No, but 1998	2015 Yes, but 2009	X	X
	No, but 2001	N/A 0000	No, but 1989	X	X
	N/A 0000		N/A 0000	х	х

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
international law (1979. év nemzetközi magánjogról) ( was art. 16(1)-(2) of Law de entry into force and imple 1952 on marriage, family a certain questions related t	12 of the European ncil of 4 July 2012 on a recognition and and acceptance and nstruments in matters of ation of a European  ee No. 13 of 1979 on private in force since 1 July 1979), acree No. 23 of 1952 on the mentation of Act No. IV. of and guardianship, and on the law of persons (1952, et a házasságról, a családról 2. évi IV. törvény jtása, valamint a személyi pályozása tárgyában) (in 1953 and 30 June 1979).  b. 13 of 1979 (1979. évi 13. mzetközi magánjogról) (in	Art. 36(1) of Law decree No	12 of the European ncil of 4 July 2012 on recognition and and acceptance and nstruments in matters of ation of a European  No. 13 of 1979 on private i 13. törvényerejű rendelet () (in force since 1 July 2009).  1. 13 of 1979 on private i 13. törvényerejű rendelet () (in force between 1 July		

Marr	Marriage		Registered partnership		itation
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
Explanations and nuances Since August 2015, the releve which says the law of the has applicable. Supposing the quarter with a habitual residence in inherit, as they are statutory. Whether the marriage is recodecided by the law on interest says that the common jurised legal if it is legal according to of the partners. Thus if the coitizen a different-sex spous sex spouse will not. If it is a will check whether the marriage was personal law of the Hungarian, a same-sex survinherit as their marriage was personal law of the Hungarian marriage is valid in the jurise the surviving same-sex spoud However, the law on international state on the first sample would apply well, although there is no cathis.  Until August 2015, the law of provided that the personal law of the Hungarian publications argue would apply well, although there is no cathis.	ant EU regulation applies bitual residence shall be uestion concerns spouses Hungary, a spouse will heirs (see question 6.03). Ognized as valid or not, is national private law, which liction of the partners at rriage applies, and if they iction, the marriage is only both of the jurisdictions deceased is a Hungarian e will inherit, and a sameforeigner, the authorities iage was valid according ises. If either partner is ving partner will not is not valid according to the an partner. If the same-sex diction of both spouses, ise inherits in principle. It ional private law also shall be applied if it runs corder, which some legal to same-sex marriages as see law to support or refute an international private law aw of the deceased should if the country of which the e issues regarding the	Explanations and nuance The same rule applies as for of the deceased person's happlied. Supposing the quere partners with a habitual restregistered same-sex partner different-sex registered partners are different as the partner of the person recognize registered partner partners is Hungarian, their will not be recognized as was sex registered partner will both citizens of countries registered partnerships, the partner will inherit.  Before the introduction of 2009, only registered partner between persons whose perinstitution of registered partine hungary.	or marriage, that is the law abitual residence will be estion concerns registered sidence in Hungary, a er will inherit. If it is a rtner, the decision will be onal law of the partners erships. If either of the registered partnership alid, and thus the different-not inherit. In case they are ecognizing different-sex e different-sex registered		

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <a href="LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf">LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</a> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

Question: 4.07 - Citizenship

Does a relationship of this type make it easier for a foreign partner to obtain citizenship?

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
2015 Yes 1993	2015 N/A 0000	2015 N/A 0000	2015 Yes 2009	2015 No 1978	2015 No 1996
Yes 1957			N/A 0000	No 0000	No 0000
References to legal sources: Art. 4(2)(a) and 4(3)(a) Act No. LV of 1993 on Hungarian citizenship (1993. évi LV. törvény a magyar állampolgárságról).  Art. 7(a) of Act No. V of 1957 on Citizenship (1957. évi V. törvény az állampolgárságról).		References to legal sour Art. 3 of Act No. XXIX of 20 partnership and related le amendment of other stat proof of cohabitation (200 bejegyzett élettársi kapcs összefüggő, valamint az é igazolásának megkönnyít törvények módosításáról)	009 on registered egislation and on the utes to facilitate the 09. évi XXIX. törvény a olatról, az ezzel lettársi viszony éséhez szükséges egyes	References to legal sour	ces:

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
Explanations and nuance Since 1993 spouses of Hu lived in Hungary for a per being married to a Hungar nationality (as opposed to requirement of 8 years).  Spouses of Hungarian citi married to a Hungarian citi married to a Hungarian cit who have been married to five years and have a com where they live) can apply two options were introdu  Before 1993, the law did r period of residence, natur requested regardless of the	ngarian citizens who fod of three years while rian citizen can apply for a general residence zens who have been tizen for ten years or a Hungarian citizen for mon child (regardless of for nationality (these ced in March 2013).		le of the Registered e considered the common le, the five year registered	Explanations and nuane Cohabiting partners are relevant legislation.	

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <u>LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</u> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

Question: 4.08 - Recognition of joint adoption

When the partners have jointly adopted a child while residing abroad, will that foreign adoption then be recognised as regards legal parenthood?

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
2015 Yes 1979	2015 N/A 0000	2015 N/A 0000	2015 No, but 2009	2015 No 1978	2015 No 1996
? 0000			N/A 0000	No 0000	No 0000
References to legal sources: Art. 43(2) of Law decree No. 13 of 1979 on private international law (1979. évi 13. törvényerejű rendelet a nemzetközi magánjogról).  Art. 44 of Law decree No. 13 of 1979 on private international law (1979. évi 13. törvényerejű rendelet a nemzetközi magánjogról).		References to legal sources: Art. 43(2) of Law decree No. 13 of 1979 on private international law (1979. évi 13. törvényerejű rendelet a nemzetközi magánjogról).  See question 3.10 for basic rules on joint adoption.		References to legal sources: Art. 43(2) of Law decree No. 13 of 1979 on private international law (1979. évi 13. törvényerejű rendelet a nemzetközi magánjogról).  See question 3.10 for basic rules on joint adoption.	

					•
Marriage		Registered	partnership	Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
nat any adoption of a for ungarian citizen has to by the Hungarian guardia ame-sex couples are not neir requests will be rejected by the Hungarian is silent, and seess what happens if are proad without the permit ontains that no foreign lay on the legal scholars argued doption by same-sex couplers is no case law to supple case the spouses are belowing joint adoption for	reign child by a be authorized in advance anship authority. Since allowed to adopt jointly, cted.  Ind there is no case law to a adoption is performed ission of the Hungarian ternational private law aw shall be applied if it an public order, which a would apply to foreign uples as well, although poport or refute this.  In the citizens of countries of countries of countries of countries of citizenship of one to country of common the lack thereof, the law amon residence will be ption might be	Explanations and nuand The law on international that any adoption of a for Hungarian citizen has to by the Hungarian guardia same-sex couples are not their requests will be rejestilent, and there is no cas happens if an adoption is without the permission of authorities.  Concerning foreigners, the spouses.	private law clearly states reign child by a see authorized in advance anship authority. Since allowed to adopt jointly, ected. The legislation is see law to assess what a performed abroad	Explanations and nuance The law on international per that any adoption of a fore Hungarian citizen has to be by the Hungarian guardian cohabiting partners are not jointly, their requests will.  The legislation is silent, and assess what happens if an abroad without the perminauthorities.  Concerning foreigners, the spouses.	orivate law clearly state eign child by a see authorized in advance and allowed to adopt be rejected.  Indicate there is no case law adoption is perform assion of the Hungaria

**Downloaded on 2017-01-23** 

Jurisdiction: **Hungary** 

Source: T. Dombos, "Migration and legal family formats in Hungary". In: K. Waaldijk et al. (eds.), The LawsAndFamilies Database – Aspects of legal family formats for same-sex and different-sex couples. Paris: INED, 2017, www.LawsAndFamilies.eu, <a href="LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf">LawsAndFamilies-HU-Section4.pdf</a> (please use this full citation when citing any information from this table).

Section: 4 - Migration

# Question: 4.09 - Recognition of second-parent adoption

When one partner has become the second parent of a child of the other partner, by way of adoption while the partners were residing abroad, will that foreign adoption then be recognised as regards legal parenthood?

Marriage		Registered partnership		Cohabitation	
diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex	diffsex	same-sex
2015 Yes 1979	2015 N/A 0000	2015 N/A 0000	2015 No 2009	2015 No 1978	2015 No 1996
? 0000			N/A 0000	No 0000	No 0000
<b>References to legal sou</b> See response to question		References to legal sources: See response to question 4.08.		References to legal sources: See response to question 4.08.	
Explanations and nuances: Hungarian legislation does not see second parent adoption as a specific type of adoption (see question 3.09). The rules on regular adoption apply. See response to question 4.08.		Explanations and nuances: Hungarian legislation does not see second parent adoption as a specific type of adoption (see question 3.09). The rules on regular adoption apply. See response to question 4.08.		Explanations and nuances: Hungarian legislation does not see second parent adoption as a specific type of adoption (see question 3.09). The rules on regular adoption apply. See response to question 4.08.	